



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,934	11/16/2001	Gregory L. Heacock	13421US01	2885
7590 02/03/2004			EXAMINER	
McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD. 34th Floor 500 W. Madison Street Chicago, IL 60661			MANUEL, GEORGE C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(LC)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Cummon.	09/990,934	HEACOCK, GREGORY L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TI MANUNO DATE SAL	George Manuel	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Secondary</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 and 71 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 45-49,51-64,66 and 68-70 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) 50, 65, 67 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Serial Number: 09990934 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

2. Claims 62 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 62 and 69 are indefinite because the language "less than or approximately equal to" makes it unclear whether the thickness can be "greater than" because "slightly greater than" is "approximately equal to."

3. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Serial Number: 09990934 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

5. Claims 58-64, 66, and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Volk '073.

Regarding claims 58 and 64, the examiner is interpreting surface 184 to be a "positive first surface" and surface 182 to be a second surface that magnifies the real image.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 45, 46, 51-53-57, 69 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Volk '073 in view of Rozenman '753.

Volk shows all of the claimed features except for an enclosing package. Col. 17, lines 59-60 teach the lens may be formed of polymethylmethacrylate. Further, it is inherent the field of view for the lens is greater than 60 degrees because Volk teaches the lens provides a wide field of

Serial Number: 09990934 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

view and this is known in the art to be of an order greater than 60 degrees. Fig. 30 shows a real image formed in a second lens.

Rozenman discloses a packaging enclosure for keeping a lens sterile.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the packaging enclosure of Rozenman to enclose the lens of Volk because the packaging teaching of Rozenman applies to a contact lens of the type disclosed by Volk.

Regarding claim 69, Volk teaches lenses 130 and 180 are less than 13 mm. Based on the radius of curvature and index of refraction for lens 110, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to limit the thickness of lens 110 to less than 13 mm.

7. Claims 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Volk '073 in view of Rozenman '753 and further in view of Deeg et al '792.

Volk in view of Rozenman show all of the claimed features as described above except for the lens holder formed of acrylic, styrene, or polycarbonate.

Deeg et al teach acrylic, styrene, or polycarbonate are common materials for ophthalmic lens holding. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to form the lens holder of Volk of acrylic, styrene, or polycarbonate.

Page 5 Serial Number: 09990934

Art Unit: 3762

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 50, 65 and 67 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 8. would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claims 1-44 and 71 are allowed.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (703) 308-2118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri., 9:00-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

> George Manuel **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 3762

January 30, 2004